

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/551,399		CASE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	YASHITA SHARMA		3774	

All Participants:

(1) YASHITA SHARMA.

(2) TIMOTHY PAUL.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10, 11, 26, 42 and 51-54

Prior art documents discussed:

Pavcnik et al. (6508833)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 5 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: This interview consisted of a discussion regarding proposed examiner's amendments to independent claim 26 to incorporate the allowable subject matter from claim 53. As a result of this interview, the case is in condition for allowance. Claims 10, 42 and 51-54 are cancelled. .